

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/775,121 | 02/11/2004 | Avner Navon | 2324/4 | 1274 |
| 7590 12/09/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. | | | PHILLIPS, CHARLES E | |
| C/o Bill Polkinghorn Discovery Dispatch | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 9003 Florin Way Upper Marlboro, MD 20772 | | | 3751 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | <u> </u> | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/775,121 | NAVON, AVNER | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Charles E. Phillips | 3751 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 October 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29 is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-28 and 30-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | rom consideration. | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/775,121

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 21-28 and 30-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is nothing in the disclosure to determine the metes and bounds of the terms "dispensing unit" and "releasing mechanism" of claim 21, lines 4-5.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21,23-25,34 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang

See Fig. 4, where claims 21 and 40 are met by the dispensing unit seen at 2, cartridge at 1', housing at 1 and attachment mechanism at 8. The claim 23 plug is seen at 6, and is bidirectional as set forth in claim 24. The fitting of claim 25 is seen to fit around the tank surface. The claim 34 "securing mechanism" is met by the surface of 1 on which 1' rests.

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang, as aplied

supra, in view of Hall.

To provide for the inlet 12 of the former to be mounted through the cover as taught by Hall

would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan as the two would have constituted obvious

alternative equivalent mounting schemes, both shown used in similar art devices.

Claim 29 stands withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as

being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election

was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/26/05.

Claims 22,26,27,30-33 and 35-39 define over the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E. Phillips at

telephone number 571-272-4893.

Primary Examiner